

Goal 2 Resource Team STG

May 6, 2008

12:30 – 1:30: Meet in workgroups and record updates below

1:30 – 3:30 Full team meeting

15 min Individual Budgeting Update: We agreed to get all comments to Gerald within a week. Gerald agreed to send the paper to all for review and comments. Additionally, Gerald agreed to assist DMHMRSAS with “methodology.” DMAS is looking at regs, barriers, etc. Karen is coordinating a small group to identify what an IT system should look like for IB.

5 min Review Meeting Dates/Times

20 min Committee Reports (see below)

10 min Communication Update (see below)

Item; Discussion/Comments; Next Steps: who, what, when

Policies and Regulations Workgroup

Attending: Keith Kessler, Paige McCleary (VDSS), Dawn Traver (DMHMRSAS), Karen Lawson (DMAS), Julie Stanley (Community Integration).

Task 1: Review DMAS policies, procedures, regs to identify inconsistencies

Status: In March, DMAS developed an MFP Appendix for the Policy Manual. Dawn and Julie reviewed the initial draft and sent comments to DMAS regarding how the manual could be written to be more person-centered.

Next Steps: Karen will follow up with the LTC Division to check the current status.

Task 2: Review all state policies, procedures, regulations to identify inconsistencies

Status: The group discussed activities since the March meeting. Julie requested to meet with VDH staff to follow up from the 3/4 meeting, however no meeting has been able to be arranged. As opportunities have presented themselves, group members have been incorporating person-centered language into agency policies, presentations and correspondence. Several examples were discussed: A DMAS Training PowerPoint for recruitment of transition coordination providers, a rewrite of one chapter of the auxiliary grant policy manual, and DMHMRSAS OMR/ID review of outgoing correspondence.

The group decided not to resume review of individual regulations, believing that the most effective approach is to assist agencies with revising their regulations as they come up for periodic review. The group discussed the importance of following up from the 3/4 meeting with agency regulatory staff.

Next Steps:

- ✓ Ask Kristin Burhop to obtain the periodic review schedule for all applicable DMAS, DMHMRSAS, VDSS and VDH regulations.
- ✓ Once the glossary and principles and guidelines are final, ask Kristin Burhop to transmit them to the regulatory staff as tips (resources) they can use in revising their regulations.
- ✓ Provide regular updates to the agency regulatory staff of STG Goal 2 activities.
- ✓ Incorporate these action steps into the plan for Task 3.

Task 3: Complete plan to address inconsistencies between all existing state policies, procedures regs:

Status: The group will begin this task in June. The Plan to be developed will also need to address regulatory and policy barriers to increasing the use of self-directed supports. Some key issues identified include: workers’ compensation, health insurance, leave, overtime, other benefits, and a universal registry.

Task 4: Review existing states policies/procedures in order to identify changes needed to support the use of individual budgets:

Status: Karen Lawson confirmed that we will have sufficient information about individual budgeting to begin this task in July as scheduled.

Training & Resource Bank Workgroup

Present: Carolyn Turner, David Meadows, Dawn Machonis, Linda Redmond, Gail Rheinheimer, Tera Yoder

The workgroup focused on reviewing the DRAFT training plan for PCP that crosses multiple groups, supports the MFP effort, and considers a state information sharing session. The group will continue to discuss and refine the plan

DRAFT TRAINING PLAN FOR PERSON-CENTERED PRACTICES--Multiple Initiatives DRAFT 5/08					
WHEN (approximate dates)	WHERE	WHAT	AUDIENCE	# Days	Grant
June & July 2008	Henrico Va Beach Roanoke NoVa	Person-Centered Practices and Planning for MFP	MFP transition coordinators and support coordinators	1	STG

September 2008	Richmond	State Meeting	State Agency Leadership	1	STG
August 2008 – December 2008	ADRC pilot areas	Awareness of Person-Centered Practices in the Senior Community	Administrators, managers, support coordinators	1/2	STG
July 2008 – October 2010 (process, not activity)	All activities below	Building in-state capacity for PCT trainers, Coaches facilitators, consultants for Becoming a PC Organization			IDS/ OMR STG PCPI
August 2008 – November 2008	HPR V Staggered start dates for other 4 HPRs	PC Practices and Virginia's PC Planning Process and Plan	Administrators, MR directors, supp coord supervisors & managers, training staff	1	STG
September 2008 – February 2009	HPR V Staggered start dates for other 4 HPRs	Person-Centered Thinking	support coordinators, transition coordinators, SFs	2	STG
November 2008	Richmond	Overview Day to select sites for Becoming a Person Centered Org	Any interested CSB/organization	1	PCPI
January 2009 – December 2010	5 communities 1 per HPR	Becoming a Person-Centered Organization	Sites selected through application process	Yr 1 17 Yr 2 12	IDS/ OMR PCPI
November 2008 – November 2009	HPR V	Plan Facilitation Community Connections Caretaker Assessment	Individuals with disabilities, family members, DSWorkers	2 1 1	STG PCPI IDS/ OMR
June 2008 – December 2008	5 Regional CM Team Meetings	Virginia's PC Planning Process	CM Supervisors	1	IDS/ OMR
September 2008	HPR V	Coaches Training	PCT Trainers, support coordinators, program managers	1	Rebal

Other Agenda Items for Today-

~**Field-test of Virginia's Support Plan:** The results of the field test are mixed, but have yielded good information to incorporate in future drafts and/or guidance documents pertaining to the plan. Carolyn and Marcia reported that some individuals were not interested in some of the questions, that it was too long, that some of the "choices" that they wanted were not realistic or practical, that often the resources were not available to make other choices work. She also reported some favorable results, with one parent indicating that she had been so involved in providing for her son that she had not thought about his hopes or dreams. Eric mentioned that he had talked with case managers and others who reported that the plan was providing good information, but had also recommended changes. We agreed that the feedback should be captured in writing – not a detailed report, but a recording of some of the thoughts/comments of those who had participated in the field test.

~**Communication Plan:** Met April 23 and questioned the purpose and the timing of the initiatives. Jason, Karen and Kristin meet later and decided to suspend the team because members were confused, the group had not real authority over initiatives, did not feel that they wanted to promise something that could not be delivered, and that the group was still "spinning wheels" after 6 months. Additionally Resource Team 1 had an opportunity to explore a potential opportunity. The hope is that natural collaboration will take place since many of the members are on other groups. Ideas will be discussed with the TLT and state workgroup. .

Present today: Carolvn, Cheri, David, Dawn

Next meeting date: June 3 12:30 – 3:30 at